### Uracil/H+ symport by FurE refines aspects of the rockingbundle mechanism of APC-type transporters

Iliana Zantza<sup>1</sup>, Yiannis Pyrris<sup>2</sup>, Stefano Raniolo<sup>3</sup>, Georgia F. Papadaki<sup>2</sup>, George Lambrinidis<sup>1</sup>, Vittorio Limongelli<sup>3,4</sup>, George Diallinas<sup>2,5</sup>, Emmanuel Mikros<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece.

<sup>3</sup> Euler Institute (EUL), Università della Svizzera italiana, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of Naples "Federico II", Italy

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and Technology, Heraklion, Greece.

<sup>6</sup>Athena Research and Innovation Center in Information Communication & Knowledge Technologies, Marousi, Greece.

Journal of Molecular Biology, 25 August 2023 Volume 435, Issue 19, 1 October 2023, 168226 Published version: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2023.168226



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives</u> <u>4.0 International License</u>.

Postprint deposited in institutional repository SUSI Università della Svizzera italiana - USI

# Uracil/H<sup>+</sup> symport by FurE refines aspects of the rocking-bundle mechanism of APC-type transporters

Iliana Zantza<sup>1†</sup>, Yiannis Pyrris<sup>2†</sup>, Stefano Raniolo<sup>3</sup>, Georgia F. Papadaki<sup>2</sup>, George Lambrinidis<sup>1</sup>, Vittorio Limongelli<sup>3,4\*</sup>, George Diallinas<sup>2,5\*</sup>, Emmanuel Mikros<sup>1,6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Athens, 15771, Greece.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Athens, 15781, Greece.

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Biomedical Sciences, Euler Institute, Università della Svizzera Italiana (USI), Lugano, 6900, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of Naples "Federico II", Naples, 80131, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and Technology, Heraklion, 70013, Greece.

<sup>6</sup>Athena Research and Innovation Center in Information Communication & Knowledge Technologies, Marousi, 15125, Greece.

#### **Corresponding Authors**

\*Vittorio Limongelli, vittoriolimongelli@gmail.com

- \*George Diallinas, diallina@biol.uoa.gr
- \*Emmanuel Mikros mikros@pharm.uoa.gr

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

Key words: Aspergillus nidulans/NCS1 transporters/FurE nucleobase-proton symporter/Funnel Metadynamics/mechanism of function

#### Abstract

Transporters mediate the uptake of solutes, metabolites and drugs across the cell membrane. The eukaryotic FurE nucleobase/H<sup>+</sup> symporter of *Aspergillus nidulans* has been used as a model protein to address structure-function relationships in the APC transporter superfamily, members of which are characterized by the LeuT-fold and seem to operate by the so-called 'rocking-bundle' mechanism. In this study, we reveal the binding mode, translocation and release pathway of uracil/H<sup>+</sup> by FurE, using path collective variable, funnel metadynamics and rational mutational analysis. Our study reveals a stepwise, induced-fit, mechanism of ordered sequential transport of proton and uracil, which in turn suggests that FurE, functions as a multi-step gated pore, rather than employing 'rocking' of compact domains, as often proposed for APC transporters. Finally, our work supports that specific residues of the cytoplasmic N-tail are involved in substrate translocation, in line with their essentiality for FurE function.

#### Introduction

Secondary active transporters are transmembrane proteins that mediate the transport of nutrients, metabolites and drugs in or out of cells. They select and translocate their substrates using the energy provided by the electrochemical gradient of the membrane, via a mechanism that involves the symport or antiport of mostly Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> cations with other solutes. Structural studies revealed that although secondary active transporters may be structurally, functionally or evolutionary distinct, they share common folds, which are related to specific protein conformational changes associated with the transport cycle. The general model for the transport mechanism is known as the 'alternating-access model', where the transporter accepts or releases the substrate at one side of the cell membrane by changing conformations from an *outward-facing* (OF) state facing the extracellular environment to an *inward-facing* (IF) state facing the cytosol.<sup>1–5</sup> Depending on the folding and specific conformational rearrangements of the transporter, three major mechanisms have been proposed, namely the rocker-switch, the rocking-bundle and the sliding-elevator.<sup>5–9</sup>

Important structural and functional information about the rocking-bundle mechanism, which characterizes one of two largest transporter families, the so-called Amino Acid-Polyamine-Organocation (APC) superfamily, rise from seminal studies on the bacterial transporter LeuT, specific for leucine and alanine.<sup>3,5,10</sup> LeuT adopts the 5+5 helical inverted repeat (5HIRT), formed by the first 10 transmembrane helices whose structural elements and conformational changes

determine substrate recognition and transport. In total, LeuT and most APC transporters possess twelve transmembrane  $\alpha$ -helical segments (TMSs), however the role of TMS11 and TMS12 is not yet clarified. The rocking-bundle model assumes that translocation of the substrate following the OF-to-IF conformational change is facilitated by the relative motion between two motifs, the socalled 'hash'/scaffold domain (TMS3, TMS4, TMS8, TMS9) and the 'bundle'/core domain (TMS1, TMS2, TMS6, TMS7), with TMS5 and TMS10 functioning as gates. It has been suggested that substrate binding in the OF conformation is assisted by the simultaneous binding of a positive charge ion (Na<sup>+</sup> or H<sup>+</sup>), which elicits the conformational change of the protein towards the IO conformation. This mechanism of substrate translocation has been supported by studies on the eukaryotic dopamine (DAT)<sup>11</sup> and serotonin (SERT)<sup>12</sup> transporters (neurotransmitter/sodium symporter family-NSS), and a number of mostly prokaryotic transporters.<sup>13-23</sup>

Although all transporters conforming to the 5+5 APC structure share the same 'bundle-hash' fold, topological differences have been found during the transition from the OF to the IF state. LeuT and MhsT crystal structures suggest that the 'bundle' domain (TMS1, TMS2, TMS6, TMS7) undergoes significant conformational changes during the OF/IF transition, pivoting around the 'hash' domain (TMS3, TMS4, TMS8, TMS9), while there are two additional rearrangements functioning as opening-closing gates. In LeuT, for example, displacement of TMS1b and TMS6a associated with a 45-degree kink of the TMS1 act as an extracellular gate, whereas a local unwinding of TMS5 functions as the intracellular gate. In contrast, the Mhp1 transporter transits from the outward- to the inward-state by rocking a mobile 'hash' motif around the 'bundle' domain, which also promotes TMS10 to move towards TMS1b and TMS6a to pack the substrate in the occluded conformation. Additionally, a flexible TMS5 bending, rather than movements in TMS1a of LeuT, opens the inward facing cavity and facilitates substrate release, thus functioning as the inner gate.<sup>19-22</sup>

Several fungal members of the nucleobase cation symporter 1 (NCS1) family, which are structurally related to the APC superfamily, have been extensively studied by Diallinas and co-workers, unveiling important information about regulation of expression, subcellular trafficking and turnover, transport kinetics, and substrate specificity.<sup>24–30</sup> Transporters of this family function as H<sup>+</sup> symporters selective for uracil, cytosine, allantoin, uridine, thiamine or nicotinamide riboside and secondarily for uric acid and xanthine.<sup>24,25,31</sup> In previous studies, we have modeled several NCS1 transporters of *Aspergillus nidulans* using the prokaryotic Mhp1 benzyl-hydantoin/Na<sup>+</sup> transporter as a structural template, and assessed structure-function relationships via extensive mutational analyses. From these studies, we defined the substrate binding site and revealed the important role of the cytosolic N-and C-terminal segments in regulating endocytic turnover,

transport kinetics and surprisingly substrate specificity.<sup>29,30</sup> The importance of N-terminus in transporter function has also been proven in the case of hSERT.<sup>32</sup>

Here, we sought to describe the functional conformational changes associated with the transport activity of the most extensively studied fungal NCS1 member, namely the FurE uracil/uric acid/allantoin transporter, from the OF to the IF state. To this end, we employed metadynamics calculations<sup>33</sup> and novel mutational analyses rationally designed to assess our *in silico* findings. Overall, we were able to characterize the large-scale conformational changes of FurE from the OF to the IF state, including several intermediate states, elucidating the role and the internalization order of both substrate (uracil) and H<sup>+</sup> (in the form of H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>) and their binding modes, thus providing a comprehensive novel picture that challenges aspects of the rigid-domain rocking mechanism of APC transporters.

#### Results

#### **FurE 3D model structure**

The FurE structure in three different conformational states, Outward Facing (OF), Occluded (Occ) and Inward Facing (IF), was built through homology modeling using the corresponding Mhp1 crystal structures (Figure 1 and Figure S1).<sup>20–22</sup> Upon visual inspection of the structures, it emerges that interactions between residues are expected to be crucial for the structure and function of the transporter. For example, R123 (TMS3) can form a salt bridge with D261 (TMS6), mimicking the interaction observed in Mhp1 between K110 (TMS3) and D229 (TMS6) (Figure S2A). Another important interaction is between E51 at the edge of TMS1a and K199 of TMS5 (Figure S2B). Interestingly, in both OF and Occ cases, the K199 side-chain amino group is situated in the position of the co-crystallized Na<sup>+</sup> cation in the Occ conformation of Mhp1 and very close to that of the second Na<sup>+</sup> (Na2) present in the equivalent structure of LeuT (Figure S2C). Additionally K252 (TMS6), which has been shown to affect substrate specificity,<sup>29</sup> might also form a second salt bridge with E51 (Figure S2B). Finally, the cytoplasmically located N-terminal D28 appears to interact with K188 (TMS5) in OF, as also reported by Papadaki et al.,<sup>30</sup> and with R264 in Occ (Figure S2A). Apart from the aforementioned salt bridges, additional interactions, possibly involved in the function of the outer gate could be between the Q59, T63, S64 side chains (TMS1b) and F385, S386 (TMS10) (Figure S2E), while hydrophobic interactions involving W39 might control the inner gate. It is interesting to notice that W39 is located in the intersection between TMS1a, TMS5 and

TMS6 in the middle of a network connecting the aromatic rings of F196-F47-F262-W39-Y265 that stabilize the inner gate of the transporter (**Figure S2D**).

## Mutational analysis supports the crucial role of specific residues in FurE transport function and highlights the essential structural role of the R123-D261 salt bridge

The FurE structural models highlight two salt bridges, R123-D261 and E51-K199, and a polar interaction between Q59 and S384 or S386 as crucial in the conformational transitions of FurE. Additional residues predicted to be related to conformational changes were W39, T63, S64, R193, F196, R264, N347 and F385. In order to support these predictions, we performed respective Ala substitutions. Other residues predicted to be important for transport activity, such as the interaction of D28 with K188, and the critical role of K252 in substrate binding and specificity, have been previously studied by analogous Ala substitutions.<sup>30</sup> Mutated versions of FurE, C-terminally tagged with GFP, were analyzed in an A. nidulans ( $\Delta$ 7) strain that genetically lacks all major nucleobaserelated transporters, as previously described<sup>29,30</sup>, Figure 2A (upper left panel) summarizes growth phenotypes of mutants and control strains. As expected, the positive control strain expressing wildtype FurE grows on allantoin and uric acid and is sensitive to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), whereas the negative control strain not expressing FurE shows a N starvation growth phenotype and is resistant to 5-FU. Ala substitutions in residues predicted to form the two major salt bridges (R123-D261 and E51-K199) scored as loss-of-function mutations, reflected in abolishment or dramatic reduction of growth on allantoin or uric acid and relatively increased resistance to 5-FU, mostly evident in R123A and D261A. Similar dramatic loss of FurE transport activity was obtained in R264A and F385A mutants, while Q59A and S386A FurE versions seem to have lost their transport activity for uric acid or 5-FU, but conserved some capacity for allantoin transport. Thus, the mutational analysis supports the essential functional role of the interactions between R123-D261, E51-K199 and Q59-S385-S386, as well as the importance of R264, which is predicted to interact with N-terminal D28.

Apparently Trp130 of FurE, corresponding to Trp117 in Mhp1 (**Figure S1**), is also likely to play a role in binding of substrate to FurE, and also in the transition from outward-open to occluded to inward-open, by analogy with the three Mhp1 conformations. To support this prediction, we mutated this residue to phenylalanine or alanine. Our results (lowest rows in **Figure 2A**) confirmed that both mutations, W130F and W130A, lead to total loss of transport activity (i.e. no growth on allantoin or uric acid and resistance to 5FU), without affecting proper localization to the PM. This shows that W130 is a *bona fidae* functional residue in FurE, analogous to W117 in Mhp1. Furthermore, we have previously shown that mutation W130A is a los-of function mutation also in the homologous FurD uracil transporter.<sup>27</sup>

The mutational analysis also revealed an important role of W39, as its substitution led to loss of FurE-mediated uric acid and allantoin transporter, although 5-FU transport is retained. Furthermore, we showed that Ala substitution of T63, S64, R193 or F196 have moderate negative effects on FurE apparent activity, reflected in reduction of growth on uric acid and some increase in 5-FU resistance (e.g., F196A), whereas residues N347 and S384 proved non-essential for FurE activity. Although the T63A, S64A and R193A substitutions do not lead to abolishment of 5-FU transport, growth defects of the respective strains on uric acid reflect their contribution in the transport mechanism.

Epifluorescence microscopic analysis, shown in the right panel of **Figure 2A**, and relative quantification of PM-associated FurE-GFP shown in **Figure S3**, confirmed that mutational disruption of the major interactions tested (R123-D261, E51-K199 and Q59-F385-S386) did not affect the normal PM localization and stability of FurE, which confirms that the associated growth defects in specific mutants reflect defects in FurE transport activity *per se*, rather than an effect on protein folding or subcellular trafficking. Direct transport assays showed that FurE-mediated radiolabeled uracil transport was abolished in the respective mutants (**Figure 2B**). Noticeably, only in the case of R264A mutant the apparent loss-of-function proved to be the result of abolishment of trafficking to the PM, due to ER-retention of FurE (see **Figure 2A**). Thus, mutations of residues proposed, via homology modeling and initial MDs, to be functionally important are in line with the structural models constructed.

In order to further investigate the functional role of the proposed two main salt bridges, E51-K199 and R123-D261, but also of a possible D28-R264 interaction predicted in the present FurE model, we also constructed and analysed mutations where the charges of the respective residues were reversed, i.e. D123-R261, K51-E199, and D264-R28. To validate the double changes, we also made all relative single mutants. **Figure 2C** summarizes the functional analysis of these mutants. Both single mutations E51K and K199E and the double mutant E51K-K199E showed practically no growth on allantoin and uric acid and resistance to 5FU, similar the negative control genetically lacking FurE. Furthermore, while single mutations had little effect on the proper localization of FurE to the PM, the double mutation led to dramatic ER-retention (notice the labeling of extended perinucler ER rings). Although this result does not provide additional direct evidence for the presence of a functional salt bridge between K51-E199, it is in line with the functional importance of K51 and E199. In fact, the negative synthetic effect in respect to localization of FurE to the PM observed in the double mutant, suggests that these residues might be in close proximity. A different

outcome was obtained with mutations in D28R and R264. More specifically, the single mutants seemed to conserve either wild-type (D28R) or reduced (R264D) FurE activity, but the double mutant scored as a total loss-of-function strain (i.e. no growth on allantoin or uric acid and resistance to 5FU). Growth phenotypes were in perfect agreement with epifluorescence microscopy, which showed that in the single mutants FurE is localized in the PM, whereas in the double mutant is retained in the ER. These results, similar to mutations in E51-K199, do not provide direct support for the presence of a dynamic salt bridge between D28 and R264, but are in line with functional importance of these residues, as shown previously for D28 (Papadaki et al., 2019) and here for R264 (see Figure 2B). On the other hand, single and double mutations supported the essential structural role of a salt bridge between R123 and D261. This is evident in epifluorescence microscopy, where while the single mutation D261R leads to dramatic ER-retention and R123D to moderate instability (notice the labeling of large vacuoles), in the double mutant FurE is properly localized to the PM. This however is not accompanied with recovery of transport activity as the double mutant, similarly to the single mutants, shows no growth allantoin or uric acid and is resistant to 5FU.

The reason why inversion of residue charge did not lead to recovery of function in all cases might have been expected as inserting opposite charges within TMSs most often leads to local electrostatic clashes. For example, in the case of E51K, another Lys residue, K252, exists in close contact, as we have mentioned in the text and Figure S2. Thus, mutation E51K would introduce charge repulsion with K252 apparently incompatible with the structure or function of FurE. Furthermore, D26 is in close proximity to D28 thus, the D28R mutation would probably generate a local salt bridge between them, influencing the N-terminal tail dynamic behavior which is crucial for transport. The same holds true for the single mutation R264D where a negative charge is added in the vicinity of D28, D26 and D261. Also noticeably, R123 and D261 are residues for which no doubt exists about their position as they align exactly with K110 and D229 in Mhp1. In Mhp1 K110 interacts with Ser residues S114, S321 and S226. Accordingly in FurE R123 and D261 can interact with Asn258 as well as Ser344. Thus, it might not be surprising that inversion of the residues would affect the dynamics of network of interactions so that despite recovering a properly folded FurE version (i.e. one that is localized to the PM) this is transport inactive.

Overall, the mutational analysis performed here (see **Figure 2D** for the topology of residues analysed) support the functional importance of specific residues identified via FurE modeling and further highlight an essential structural role of the R123-D261 salt bridge. Notably the R123 and D261 residues are conserved not only in the Fur subfamily, but also in plant NCS1 member and

Mhp1. D28-R264 residues can be found in Fur-like and plant transporters, while the E51-K199 interaction seems to be solely Fur-specific.

#### The binding mode of hydronium

Contrastingly to Mhp1, which is a Na<sup>+</sup>-driven NCS1 symporter, all characterized fungal NCS1 transporters function via proton (H<sup>+</sup>) symport. Nevertheless, proton interactions are not elucidated for any of them, including FurE. Possible proton interactions associated with the mechanism of substrate transport might, in principle, be identified by running MD calculations considering all different protonation combinations of Asp, Glu and His residues.<sup>34</sup> However, in FurE the number of side chain carboxylate residues is high (21 residues), which makes it very challenging to perform MD analysis with all possible combinations of protonation states. Even if we consider solely residues that are most probably localized in the substrate/proton translocation trajectory, namely E311, E51, D261, D28 and D26, this would necessitate 32 possible input combinations for applying metadynamic calculations for the outward-inward conformational change, a computationally very demanding task. We thus decided to simulate proton symport by introducing H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> as a second distinct substrate of FurE, using Funnel-Metadynamics (FM) developed by our group.

The use of  $H_3O^+$  binding as an approach for addressing  $H^+$  interactions for studying ligand-protein systems has been described before.<sup>35,36</sup> Here we employed the forcefield parameters successfully used in MD simulations describing proton transport in sulfonated polyethylene highly ordered polymer structures,<sup>37</sup> as well as, for the description of water acidity as counter ion of  $NO_3^-$  in studies describing systems related to liquid-liquid extraction.<sup>38</sup>

During the FM calculations the whole binding pathway of  $H_3O^+$  was simulated and all possible binding sites were energetically evaluated (**Figure S4A**) for the protein in the OF state. The preferential binding site of hydronium was identified as the lowest energy state in the Binding Free Energy Surface (BFES) (**Figure 3A**) and proved to be the same site identified for Na<sup>+</sup> in both Mhp1 and LeuT sodium co-crystallized structures. This site, located at the interface of TMSs 1 and 8, involves E51 (TMS1b) and T336 (TMS8), the latter residue conserved also in LeuT and Mhp1 (**Figure 3B**). The structural stability of the binding complex FurE/H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> was further assessed by a 150 ns MD simulation.

To our knowledge,  $H_3O^+$  has not been used to study  $H^+$  symport mechanism of transporters. We are thus aware that the assumption that a hydronium cation binds as proton might endanger erroneous conclusions and has been chosen solely in order to predict the possible sites of neutralization by  $H^+$ . Indeed, our results show that  $H_3O^+$  is predicted to interact with specific residues in exactly the same location where Na<sup>+</sup> cation in structurally similar Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent APC-type transporters. Furthermore, we also validated our methodology by running the same metadynamic calculations considering the side chain carboxylate of residue E51 as neutralized by proton binding (see later).

#### The binding mode of uracil

Next, we simulated the binding process of uracil ( $K_m = 1 \text{ mM}$ ) to its putative binding site in FurE using FM. The putative binding site was confined between TMS1, TMS3, TMS6 and TMS8 as suggested by previous mutagenesis data, as well as structural studies on Mhp1 and other NCS1 transporters.<sup>27</sup> As performed in the case of H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, we simulated the binding process of uracil from its fully solvated state to the binding site in the Occ state, using the uracil distance to the binding site as CV. Uracil starting structure was generated by docking calculations, which does not affect the final result since FM calculations explore all the possible binding poses. To ensure a wide area sampling around the binding site we have set a large cone section in the FM simulation (**Figure S4B**). H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> was also included at the binding mode previously identified (see previous paragraph).

Derived from the global minimum of the BFES (denoted with letter A in BFES **Figure 3C**), the selected model of uracil binding mode (**Figure 3D**, **E**) was found to be similar to that of (5S)-5benzylimidazolidine-2,4-dione (hydantoin analogue) in the Mhp1 crystal structure (**Figure 3F**) and in the same location as cytosine bound to CodB (**Figure 3G**).<sup>22,23</sup> In more detail, we observed Hbond interactions between T254 (TMS6) and uracil C2=O, N341 (TMS8) and uracil C4=O and N3, and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between W130 (TMS3) and uracil. Two additional minima were found at higher energy values (denoted with letters B and C in the BFES in **Figure 3C**) that represent probable intermediate binding poses of the ligand along its path to the final binding site. Uracil appears first to interact with Q59 (TMS1b), via a bidentate interaction with N3 and C2=O, and a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking with W307 (L7 loop). Subsequently, it moves lower in the FurE binding cavity, where it interacts with Q137 (TMS3) via a bidentate bond involving C4=O and N3 (**Figure 3D**). Finally, uracil and W130 both interacted with F385 (TMS10) through  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and T-shaped stacking interactions.

#### The conformational transition of FurE from OF to IF

To thoroughly describe the large-scale conformational transition of FurE, and the relative order with which hydronium and uracil are transported, we employed a dimensionality reduction approach, called path collective variables (PCVs).<sup>39</sup> In this case, the aforementioned transitions can be

discretized by providing a set of frames describing the required movement (see Methods). These frames include the positions of key atoms from the beginning to the end of the conformational change, allowing us to track the transition stage during the simulation and also accelerate its sampling through Metadynamics. The whole transition of FurE from OF to IF was investigated through two set of simulations, the first describing the OF-to-Occ transition and the second the Occ-to-IF. For each of them, four systems were investigated considering all possible combinations of ligand stoichiometry: i) FurE  $-H_3O^+$  - uracil (*apo*); ii) FurE  $+ H_3O^+$  - uracil; iii) FurE  $- H_3O^+ +$  uracil; iv) FurE  $+ H_3O^+ +$  uracil (the total simulation time for each metadynamics is shown in **Table S1**). In the simulations where hydronium and uracil are present, they occupy the binding mode previously identified. FurE structures representing the global minimum at the calculated FES were extracted and clustered. The centroid structure of the most populated cluster was selected and subjected to a 100ns standard MD simulation in order to assess its stability. The interactions between the most important residues were monitored within the extracted structures and statistics are shown in **Figure 4A**.

#### The OF-to-Occ transition

*i)* Apo state (FurE -  $H_3O^+$  - uracil) - The FES shows one single, wide energy minimum between OF and Occ (**Figure 4B**) indicating a relative conformational flexibility of FurE when no ligand is present, confirmed also by standard MD simulations (**Figure S5A**). Notably, in the energy minimum structures the initial part of TMS10 is positioned much closer to TMS1a with respect to the starting OF state. The relative orientation between 'hash' and 'bundle' motives remained very similar to Mhp1.The most stable interactions in the *apo* state are engaged by K199-T336, E51-T336 and R123-D261, while E51-K199 and R264-D28 interact at a minor extent (**Figure 4A**). Additionally, Q134 interacts with T336 through a water molecule (**Figure S6A**).

*ii)* Hydronium bound (FurE +  $H_3O^+$  - uracil) - When  $H_3O^+$  cation is bound to FurE, the FES is rather similar to that of the *apo* form, albeit the minimum is narrower indicating a reduced flexibility of the transporter and in particular of TMS10 (**Figure 4B**). This finding suggests that the presence of  $H_3O^+$  influences the free energy landscape, leading TMS10 in a position competent to bind the substrate. More specifically,  $H_3O^+$  interacts with both E51 and T336, disrupting the bond between K199 and E51, between E51 and T336, and the water bridge between Q134 and T336. Consequently, T336 interacts only with K199 so that the H-bond between T336 and Q134 is lost, making Q134 available to interact with uracil (**Figure S6B**). In order to validate our approach to use  $H_3O^+$  as a second substrate, we also run the same metadynamic calculations considering the E51 residue side chain carboxylate as neutralized. The resulted FES describing the energetics of the OF to Occ transition was compared to that obtained with  $H_3O^+$  (**Figure S7**). The two FESs were practically identical strongly suggesting that the use of  $H_3O^+$  is a valid approach to describe the conformational change phenomena induced by  $H^+$  binding. Moreover, in the uracil- $H_3O^+$ -FurE system the binding modes of the two substrates are stable in standard MD simulations. The same holds in the system with E51 neutralized and  $H_3O^+$  absent. In detail, uracil is stable in the binding site while the neutral E51 can form H-bond with waters located in the  $H_3O^+$  position. This is another result validating the simulations using the  $H_3O^+$  cation.

*iii)* Uracil bound (FurE -  $H_3O^+$  + uracil) – When only uracil is bound to FurE, the FES minimum was located close to the Occ state (**Figure 4B**). However, in this pose the first part of TMS10 is still relatively distant to TMS1a. Unbiased MD simulations performed on this system show that uracil is not stable in the binding pocket, leaving the binding mode after 20ns (**Figure S5B**), while TMS10 fluctuated between an OF and Occ state (**Figure S5C**).

*iv) Hydronium and uracil bound (FurE* +  $H_3O^+$  + *uracil)* - In the case both hydronium and uracil are bound, the lowest energy minimum represents the Occ state (**Figure 4B**). Comparing this FurE state to the crystallized Occ state of Mhp1, minor differences are observed in TMS5, where a tilt was noted towards the IF conformation, and in TMS3 and TMS9. A network of interactions between F385, S386, F388, L389 with Q59, V60 and W130 contributes in stabilizing TMS10 in the occluded position, with a consequent motion of TMS9, which however is not observed in the Mhp1 crystal structure. Furthermore, the slight tilt of TMS5 suggests that FurE in Occ has already moved in a conformation closer to the IF state, foreshadowing a low energy barrier between the occluded and an Inward Occlude state (IOcc). Additionally, binding of uracil stabilizes Q134 through an H-bond, in a position capable of making an H-bond network with Q59 and water molecules. S386 (TMS10) can interact with Q59 (**Figure S8**).

Taken together, our results provide unprecedented structural insight into the OF-to-Occ transition of FurE. In detail, it is clearly shown that the presence of hydronium stabilizes the FurE conformation competent for binding the uracil and that the binding of both hydronium and uracil is necessary to lock FurE in Occ state. Our observations agrees with experimental data concerning Mhp1, where the symported Na<sup>+</sup> increase substrate affinity without inducing major conformation changes,<sup>19,40</sup> while in LeuT Na<sup>+</sup> binding shifts the conformational equilibrium to the OF state.<sup>41,42</sup> Occ state in FurE is a very stable state as demonstrated by the low RMSD values (~1 Å) computed for the backbone C $\alpha$  atoms of the transporter in unbiased MD calculations. Additionally, the break of initial bonds that stabilized TMS5 in a closed position and retained a stable 'hash-bundle' domain orientation (K199-E51, K199-T336, E51-T336), allow the FurE structure to move towards IF state.

#### The Occ-to-IO transition

*i) Hydronium and uracil bound (FurE* +  $H_3O^+$  + *uracil)*- When uracil and  $H_3O^+$  are both bound to FurE, the transporter assumes a low energy structure that approaches the IO state, albeit not reaching it. This can be defined as the Inward Occluded (IOcc) state. Here, TMS3 is tilted, inducing TMS4 and TMS5 to assume a semi-open state. At the same time the central part of TMS8 is tilted away from TMS1a. The TMS3 motion is characterized by the break of the electrostatic interaction between D261 (TMS6) and R123 (TMS3) (**Figure 4A**), which instead interacts with T254 of the uracil binding site and uracil itself.  $H_3O^+$  in a more interior position, approaches D28 in the N-terminal loop. In addition, the E51 side chain rotates following the cation motion and this results in a more stable interaction with K252 (**Figure 4A**).

*ii)* Uracil bound (FurE -  $H_3O^+$  + uracil) – In this state, the FES shows a minimum close to the IF conformation. Such minimum is narrow, confined by a high-energy barrier (**Figure 4C**). This finding suggests that first  $H_3O^+$  unbinds FurE, then the transporter is stabilized in a close-to-IF conformation useful for uracil release. Compared to the Mhp1 inward structure, the tilt of TMS5 is more pronounced, while TMS8 is not tilted anymore leading to a maximum distance from TMS1a. Both conformational changes elicit a rearrangement of the other helices of the "hash" motif TMS3, TMS4 and TMS9 (**Figure S9**).

*iii)* Apo state (FurE -  $H_3O^+$  - uracil) - In the apo system, the FES shows the lowest energy minimum close to IF, in a position similar to the uracil bound state. However, here the minimum is wider, indicating a larger conformational freedom of the transporter in the *apo* state. TMS5 is rather flexible, while TMS3 is slightly bent if compared with the Occ state. As FurE assumes the *apo* state after the release of both the ligands, such conformational freedom might be instrumental to favor the reverse transition of the transporter to the outward state. The FurE flexibility was confirmed by standard MD simulations carried out on the structure of the minimum.

*iv)* Hydronium bound (FurE +  $H_3O^+$  - uracil) - When only  $H_3O^+$  is bound to FurE, the energy minimum structure is between Occ and IF (**Figure 4C**), with TMS5 very close to the position assumed in Occ. This hints that in the absence of uracil the protein is not able to reach the IO state.

Our calculations show that when  $H_3O^+$  is still bound to the protein the conformation is stabilized in an intermediate state between Occ and IF. This suggests that the sequence of events includes first dislocation and dissociation of the  $H_3O^+$ , while uracil is needed in order to shift the Occ to the final IO state. Furthermore, this transition from Occ to IF is related to TMS3 and TMS8 tilting, a shift associated also with both  $H_3O^+$  and substrate interactions.

#### The internalization pathway of H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> cation

Based on our PCV calculations on the FurE Occ-to-IF transition, hydronium is the first to be released in the intracellular environment. Therefore, we investigated the unbinding of  $H_3O^+$  from the transporter by means of FM simulations with the protein in the IO state (**Figure S4C**). Our results show that hydronium is able to move towards the intracellular region of FurE passing through different binding modes (**Figure 5A, 5B**). First,  $H_3O^+$  breaks the interactions with T336 to H-bond with S339, while maintaining the salt bridge with E51. This corresponds to minimum D in the FES reported in **Figure 5A.** Then, hydronium binds to a cleft created by F47, F262 and E51, corresponding to minimum C (**Figure 5A**). Afterwards, the interaction with E51 is lost and  $H_3O^+$  binds to D28, D26 of the cytosolic N-terminal terminus<sup>30</sup> and N347, corresponding to minimum B of the FES (**Figure 5A**). Finally,  $H_3O^+$  reaches the lowest energy pose A, binding to D28 and D26 (**Figure 5B**), before being fully released in the cytoplasm. The motion of E51 along with the  $H_3O^+$  unbinding elicit a break of E51-K199 and D28-R264 interactions (**Figure 4A**). Notably, our simulations indicate that the flexibility of the cytosolic N-terminal segment of FurE plays a major role in the release of hydronium in the cytoplasm.

#### The internalization pathway of uracil

Once hydronium unbinds, uracil can be released in the intracellular environment. We investigated the unbinding of uracil from the FurE IF state investigating all the possible exiting pathways from the binding pocket to the TMS5 inner gate (**Figure S4D**). The FES and the ligand energetically relevant poses are represented in **Figure 5C**, **5D**, respectively. During uracil unbinding three residues, W130, E51 and W39, play a major role (**Figure 5D**). W130 keeps a vertical conformation to the z axis of the membrane, thus closing *de facto* the access to the extracellular part, while E51 forms an H-bond with uracil favoring the translocation of the ligand towards the TMS5 inner gate. Finally, W39 forms  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and T-shaped stacking interactions with uracil justifying the relevance of W39 as highlighted by mutagenesis data. It should be noticed that W39 (TMS1) is part of a hydrophobic cleft consisting of F262 (TMS6), Y265 (TMS6), V343 (TMS8) and V189 (TMS5) contributing to the stability of the OF and Occ states where TMS1a, TMS6b, TMS8 and TMS5 are close, while in IF TMS8 and TMS5 move away as H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> and uracil are transported intracellularly.

#### Discussion

Here we used the extensively studied at the genetic and functional level FurE protein, a eukaryotic transporter that is structurally similar to APC superfamily members, to address the mechanism of substrate/H<sup>+</sup> symport using state-of-art free-energy calculations, focusing on the conformational rearrangements of the transporter structure that accompany transport catalysis. A principal novelty of this work is that it addresses proton symport, by introducing  $H_3O^+$  as a second distinct substrate. This approach is the only possible in transporters like FurE with several protonation centers and, importantly, it has been validated through this work for the first time (Figure S7). More specifically in order to investigate the binding mechanism of both uracil and hydronium to the FurE transporter we have employed funnel-metadynamics (FM) calculations.<sup>43,44</sup> The FM technique has been developed by our group and in recent years it has become a leading technique to study ligand binding in protein and DNA systems.<sup>45,46</sup> At variance with conventional molecular dynamics simulations, in FM the a priori knowledge of the ligand binding mode is not required, since the correct binding mode is disclosed independently from the ligand starting position relative to the protein.<sup>43</sup> During the simulation, the ligand indeed explores the whole binding path, from the fully solvated state to the final binding mode. Thus, in addition to there resolution of the ligand binding mode and the estimate of the absolute binding free energy, further important aspects of the binding process are disclosed, including the presence of alternative binding modes and the role of the solvent. Our method has been successfully used by us and other research groups to reproduce the binding mechanisms in ligand/protein and ligand/DNA complexes, identifying crystallographic binding modes and predicting experimental binding free energies<sup>47-52</sup> In detail, a funnel-shaped potential is applied to the molecular target where the cone section includes the ligand binding site, while the cylinder section points towards the solvent. In addition, the ligand exploration of the protein binding site and the unbound state is enhanced by means of metadynamics - in the present case well-tempered metadynamics - which accelerates the sampling allowing overcoming the timescale limit of standard molecular dynamics simulations. As a result, the ligand explores all the possible binding modes and unbound state and even long timescale ligand binding processes - in the order of millisecond - can be simulated. In addition, the free-energy landscape is reconstructed using the metadynamics bias added to the system during the sampling (see Methods section for further details). The funnel-shaped potential plays a key role in enhancing the sampling by limiting the phase space exploration in the cone and cylinder section. In fact, while the ligand visits states internal to the funnel shape, no external potential is felt by the system. Here, the simulation proceeds

as plain metadynamics. On the other hand, as the ligand touches the edge of the funnel, an external potential is applied to the system discouraging the ligand to leave the funnel. For this reason, at variance with other binding free-energy techniques, in funnel-metadynamics it is not necessary to know a priori the final ligand binding mode. However, a preliminary knowledge of the location of the binding pocket in the target structure allows a wise definition of the funnel shape onto the protein structure, which enhances the sampling without affecting the exploration of the binding site. Overall, this work reveals the operation mode and identifies the stepwise conformational changes that underlie the symport of uracil/H<sup>+</sup> by FurE. Our principal findings are highlighted schematically in Figure 6 and discussed in more detail below. We obtained compelling evidence that during the whole process  $H_3O^+$  interacts with three negatively charged residues, namely E51, D28 and D26. The initial binding location of  $H_3O^+(E51)$  was found to be exactly at the same place where Na<sup>+</sup> is co-crystallized in the homologous prokaryotic transporter Mhp1. H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> binding stabilized the rather flexible apo structure in an intermediate conformation between the initially constructed OF and Occ models (i.e. outward-occluded or OOcc). FurE-H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> interaction was found to trigger local amino acid rearrangements that permit Q134 to bind uracil, without promoting other major protein conformational changes, rather similar to what has been found in Mhp1.<sup>21,40</sup> This local dynamic change elicited by cation-binding alone differs in other APC transporters, such as LeuT, dDAT, hDAT and SERT, where Na<sup>+</sup> binding favors a fully Occ conformation.<sup>11,12,42,53</sup> In FurE, only when both substrate (uracil) and H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> are bound, the lowest energy conformation shifted towards the Occ structure, a state where both TMS10 (outer gate) and TMS5 (inner gate) are closed. Noticeably, in the FurE Occ state we detected relatively small changes in the 'hash' helices. More specifically, the relative motion of W130 (TMS3) interacting with uracil elicited a small bend in the last part of TMS3 assisted by a G132, and this was followed by a similar bend of the first part of TMS8. Furthermore, TMS9 followed the movement of TMS10 and induced a small shift to TMS4 (see Figure 4). A network of interactions between TMS10 and TMS1b residues, namely F385, L389 and Q59, also contributed to the stabilization of the Occ state and play a critical role in the substrate specificity, as supported by the mutational analysis.

After acquiring the Occ structure, with uracil and  $H_3O^+$  bound, FurE assumes an intermediate structure between Occ and IF (i.e., inward-occluded or IOcc), where  $H_3O^+$  cation moved towards the intracellular domain. In this state, the N-terminal D28 loses the interaction with R264 in order to be engaged in the translocation of  $H_3O^+$ , while other critical rearrangements involved K199-E51-K252 and R123-D261 interactions. These events also trigger a relative motion of TMS3, TMS4 and the first part of TMS5, followed by a major tilt of TMS8 (see **Figure S9**). In this IOcc state, in which both uracil and  $H_3O^+$  are still bound, we observed an initial bending of the first part of the unleashed TMS5 (at P204), which reflects the opening an inner gate. In both LeuT<sup>54</sup> and DAT<sup>55</sup>, two sodium binding sites have been identified and related to both Occ state stabilization and substrate internalization.<sup>10</sup> In FurE, TMS5 K199 side chain group is located in the same position of Na<sup>+</sup> ion in Mhp1 and Na2 in LeuT, and corresponds to K158 in ApcT (and K191 in GkApcT), being the only LeuT-like proton symporters crystalized today.<sup>18,56,57</sup> In addition, the flexible side chain of K252 was very often located close to the LeuT Na1 site, in our simulations. It is interesting to notice that K252 has been previously identified as a crucial residue increasing affinity to uric acid. Both K199 and K252 residues have also been identified experimentally as crucial for substrate specific recognition and transport via their interaction with E51, triggering the necessary protein conformational alterations for transport activity (Figure 4). It thus seems that specific Lys residues in H<sup>+</sup> symporters might alleviate the need for Na<sup>+</sup> binding needed in other APC carriers. Indeed, Lys residues have been proposed as important actors of proton translocation process in ApcT, GkApcT proton symporters and NhaA Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter.<sup>18,56,57,58</sup> It is interesting that although initially in ApcT K158 has been suggested<sup>18</sup> as the site of proton binding, in GkApcT the corresponding K191 is not related to proton transport but is probably important for the conformational changes of the transporter,<sup>57</sup> while the TMS3-Glu115 appears to be related to proton binding. In NhaA, although it is not a LeuT-like fold transporter it has been proposed that disruption of a salt bridge between K300 and D164 is important for Na<sup>+</sup> translocation and proton release.<sup>58</sup> In FurE, our calculations s that a salt bridge exists between TMS1-E51 and TMS5-K199 and a proton assists in the breakage of this salt bridge. Although the K199 pKa is calculated to be 8.5 in the outward open conformation it is however, possible that this pKa changes simultaneously with the alterations of the local environment during transporting process and K199 protonationdeprotonation could take place playing a role in the conformational changes of the transporter.

From the IOcc, in order to reach the IF state from, our simulations showed that  $H_3O^+$  must be released first, as only in this case the FES is shifted to IO. A similar concepts are accepted in DAT and LeuT.<sup>53,59–61</sup> Notably, however, internalization of  $H_3O^+$  was accompanied by neutralization of D28 and D26 and subsequent relocation of the cytoplasmic N-terminal segment known as LID.<sup>30</sup> At this state, when uracil is ready to leave the transporter, TMS5 (the inner gate) opens, the upper part of TMS3 bends, TMS8 is not tilted anymore, while TMS4 and TMS9 are relocated following the movements of TMS5, TM8 and TMS3 (**Figure S9**).  $H_3O^+$  release interrupted the E51- $H_3O^+$ -T336 interaction bridging the 'hash' and 'bundle' motives. This allowed the middle part of TMS8 to shift away from TMS1, which in turn resulted in maximum TMS5 bending, creating sufficient space for uracil to be in contact with the intracellular medium and leave the binding site. This is

possible only by breaking a network of interactions between residues TMS1a, TMS6b, TMS8 and the cytosolic N-terminal LID, a change also contributing to substrate specificity. Overall, proton release triggers concerted conformational bending in TMS3, TMS5 and TMS8, feasible due to the presence of G132, P204 and G335, respectively.

Importantly, these findings suggest a deviation from the rigid-body motion of the 'hash' motif, which has been proposed initially as alternative for Mhp1 to the proposed "rocking- bundle" mechanism for LeuT like transporters. In LeuT X-ray studies have initially suggested that the major gating conformational changes during transport are related with titling of TMS1 both for closing the upper gate (shift of TMS1b) and opening the inner gate (tilt of TMS1a). These major changes are correlated to the rigid body motion of the "bundle" while the "hash" motif is considered relatively stable. However, as recently reviewed for dozens of LeuT fold transporters the rigid body rotation of the bundle domain is not accepted and EPR and HDX-MS experiments have shown the relative independent motion of the bundle helices which are understated by the crystallography snapshots.<sup>62</sup> Yet, for Mhp1 the rigid body motion is still considered as the main model which considers the motion of "hash" motif as a whole around the "bundle", which appears to be the less changing part of the protein in this case. Our calculations concerning FurE an Mhp1 homologue suggest that there are intermediate conformers challenging the rigid body motion, where an important role plays the tilting of TMS8 supporting the idea of a multiple step gated pore.

In conclusion, we showed that H<sup>+</sup>/uracil binding and transport shape the energy landscape by eliciting induced-fit conformational changes that lead to sequential movements of specific TMS principally in the 'hash' domain, and less so in the 'bundle' domain, associated also with opening and closing of outer (TMS10) and inner (TMS5) gates. Our results infer that the 'hash' motif helices exhibit flexibility and tilt upon substrate binding in the OF-to-Occ conformational rearrangement, while in the Occ-to-IO TMS3, TMS5 and TMS8 exhibit local substrate binding-dependent flexibility, questioning the rigid rocking-movement of either the 'bundle' or the 'hash' motif, as proposed for Mhp1. Thus, the unified picture emerging from this work is that the FurE symporter, and probably other homologous carriers, might function as a multi-step gated pore, rather than employing dramatic changes in rigid body compact domains. Finally, this work strongly supports the importance of the cytosolic N-terminal LID sequence for completion of substrate release in the cytoplasm, as also suggested by mutational analysis.<sup>63</sup>

#### **Materials and methods**

#### **Protein Model Construction**

Model of FurE was constructed based on homology modeling using Prime 2018-4 (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2018) on Maestro platform (Maestro, version 2018-4, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2018). Mhp1 was used as query in the three conformations: OF(2JLN), Occ(4D1B), IO(2X79), sharing with FurE a 35% similarity, while the sequence alignment was formulated according to previous work.<sup>30</sup> In order to correctly represent TMS9 in the case of IF as in 2X79 IO Mhp1 crystal structure a part of it was coil, we started with the OF FurE structure and using Targeted Molecular Dynamics in plumed-v2 software,<sup>64</sup> a constant force of 500000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>) was applied on the C $\alpha$  atoms of the helices to create FurE in occluded and inward state. The constant force was gradually turned to zero and the system was further subjected to stabilization.

#### System Setup

In order to construct the protein-ligand complex CHARMM-GUI<sup>65</sup> platform was used. Each model was inserted into a heterogeneous fully hydrated bilayer 120 Å  $\times$  120 Å  $\times$  120 Å, consisting of YOPC, POPI lipids and ergosterol at a ratio of 40:40:20. The membrane embedded system was solvated with TIP3P water molecules. The solution contained neutralizing counter ions and 150 mM Na<sup>+</sup> and 150 mM Cl<sup>-</sup>. In the case that H3O<sup>+</sup> was present, a water molecule was replaced, and the system was neutralized with Cl<sup>-</sup> counter ions. The assembled simulation system consisted of  $\sim$ 160,000 atoms.

#### Molecular Dynamics (MD) / Metadynamics

All simulations were conducted using GROMACS software, version 2019.2.<sup>66</sup> CHARMM36m<sup>67</sup> force field was chosen for protein and lipids, H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> was provided from previous work<sup>68</sup> while the ligand and H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> prepared using the CGenFF in-built functionality in CHARMM-GUI.<sup>65</sup> The protein orientation into the membrane was calculated using the OPM database of the PPM server.<sup>69</sup> All model systems were minimized and equilibrated to obtain stable structures. Minimization was carried out for 5,000 steps with a step size of 0.001 kJ/mol applying a steepest descent followed by a conjugate gradient algorithm, and the system was equilibrated for 20ns by gradually heating and releasing the restraints to expedite stabilization. Finally, the system proceeded to further simulations free of restraints at a constant temperature of 300K using Nose-Hoover thermostat,<sup>70</sup> the pressure was kept constant at 1 bar using Parrinello-Rahman semi-isotropic pressure coupling<sup>71</sup> and compressibility at 4.5e-5 bar<sup>-1</sup>. The van der Waals and electrostatic interactions were smoothly switched off at 1.2 nm, while long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the particle mesh Ewald method.<sup>72</sup> All bonds were constrained using the LINCS algorithm,<sup>73</sup> allowing a time-step of 2.0 fs. The trajectories were further examined for structural stability by RMSD calculation

of protein Ca (up to 1.2 Å) and by visual inspection with VMD platform<sup>74</sup> thus ensuring that the thermalization did not cause any structural distortion.

For metadynamics<sup>33,43,44</sup> simulations the plumed-v2 software was used.<sup>64</sup>

#### Funnel-Metadynamics for H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> cation.

a) The FurE transporter used was in outward-open (OF) conformation. Since no data are available concerning the binding site of the H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> cation, a wide area around the equivalent one of the Na<sup>+</sup> cation in Mhp1 was circumvented by the funnel cone. The cone's starting point was T332 C $\alpha$ , while the cylinder had a direction towards the extracellular waters. The funnel had a switching point between the cone and cylinder region at 4.0 nm, the amplitude of the cone was 0.27 rad, the radius of the cylinder section for the unbound region at 0.1 nm, the minimum and maximum value sampled as projection of the ligand's center of mass (COM) along the funnel axis was at 0.25 and 4.6 nm respectively, as long as, the lowest and highest value for fps.lp used to construct the funnel-shape restraint potential was at 0.00 and 4.8 nm respectively. The value for the spring constant of the funnel-shape restraint potential was set to 5000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>). As collective variable (CV) was selected the distance between the C $\alpha$  atom of T332 and the center of mass of H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> cation. The width of the Gaussian functions was set to 0.05 nm, the height to 2 kj/mol and the deposition stride to 500 simulation steps. The rescaling factor of the Gaussian function's height was 20 as we performed well-tempered metadynamics.

b) The study of binding/unbinding process of the  $H_3O^+$  cation in the cytoplasmic solvent was initiated by using well-tempered metadynamics with the FM method on FurE in the Occ state of FurE. Uracil was included in the system, placed at the binding site, whereas an upper wall of 20000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>) enforced the COM in distances lower than 0.9 nm from the C $\gamma$  of N341. The constructed funnel included all the possible routes that could lead the  $H_3O^+$  to the exit to the cytoplasm. The cone region started at C $\alpha$  of S56. The direction of the funnel axis was cytoplasm-oriented passing through Asp348 C $\beta$  atom. The switching point between the cone and the cylinder region was at 3.6 nm, the amplitude of the cone section was set to 0.5 rad and the radius of the cylinder for the unbound region to 0.1 nm. The minimum and maximum value sampled as projection of the ligand's COM along the funnel axis was set to 0.29 and 4.2 nm respectively, the lowest and highest value for fps.lp used to construct the funnel-shape restraint potential was set to 0.2 and 4.3 nm respectively, while, the value for the spring constant of the funnel-shape restraint potential to 7000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>). As CV was selected the distance between the C $\alpha$  of E51 and the center of mass of  $H_3O^+$  cation. The width of the Gaussian functions was calculated at 0.01 nm, the

height was arranged at 2 kj/mol with a rescaling factor of the Gaussian function at 20 and the deposition stride was set to 500 simulation steps.

#### **Funnel-Metadynamics for Uracil**

a) FM were performed aiming to highlight the binding mode of uracil in the binding site and the binding mechanism as it approaches the binding pocket from the extracellular. FurE was in the occluded state and the H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> cation was included in the system, as in crystallographic results of other transporters, in particular Mhp1, ion and substrate co-exist in the Occ state. In detail, the funnel used, oriented from C $\alpha$  atom of V323 deep in the binding area, with direction of the funnel axis to the extracellular solute. The switching point between the cone and cylinder region was set to 2.7 nm, the amplitude of the cone section to 0.37 rad, the radius of the cylinder section for the unbound region to 0.1 nm, the minimum and maximum value sampled as projection of the ligand's COM along the funnel axis to 0.2 and 3.3 nm respectively, the lowest and highest value for fps.lp used to construct the funnel-shape restraint potential to 0.05 and 3.6 nm respectively. The value for the spring constant of the funnel-shape restraint potential was 30000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>). As CV was selected the distance between the C $\alpha$  of N341 and the center of mass of uracil. The width of the Gaussian functions was 0.01 nm, the height was arranged at 2 kj/mol and the deposition stride at 500 simulation steps. The rescaling factor of the Gaussian function's height was 20. The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol.<sup>75</sup>

b) The uracil internalization process was implemented using again, well-tempered metadynamics with the FM method, on FurE transporter in IO conformation containing uracil and not  $H_3O^+$ , as the latter was already proven from PCV simulations that leaves first the transporter in order to allow uracil to exit too (see Main Text). The funnel was constructed as to include all the possible exiting pathways from the binding pocket to the TMS5 outer gate. The cone restraint started at backbone C atom of PF53, while the direction of the funnel axis was cytoplasm-oriented passing through S342 O atom. The switching point between the cone and the cylinder region was set to 3.4 nm, the amplitude of the cone section to 0.49 rad and the radius of the cylinder for the unbound region to 0.1 nm. The minimum and maximum value sampled as projection of the ligand's COM along the funnel axis was set to 0.21 and 4.1 nm respectively, the lowest and highest value for fps.lp used to construct the funnel-shape restraint potential was set to 30000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>). As CV was chosen the distance between the backbone of A50 and the center of mass of uracil. The

width of the Gaussian functions was calculated at 0.01 nm, the height was arranged at 2 kj/mol with a rescaling factor of the Gaussian function at 20 and the deposition stride was set to 500 simulation steps. The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol.<sup>75</sup>

#### Metadynamics Simulations with Path Collective Variable (PCV):

a) OF-to-Occ path: In this case we used the C $\alpha$  atoms of the residues belonging to FurE helices involved in hash and bundle motif. This choice was found to be appropriate because the calculated FESs were well reproducible. The initial path was obtained through a carefully chosen set of frames with equally distant RMSDs, derived from a steered MD simulation where the OF FurE was biased to Occ conformation using a stable force on C $\alpha$  atoms of helices. 6 frames were used to construct the path in total, while the average distance between adjacent frames was 0.13 nm. The RMSD matrix was constructed and plotted, confirming that the frames where appropriate for the calculation. The  $\lambda$  value calculated for s was equal to 200 nm<sup>2</sup>. The width of the Gaussian functions for hills deposition was 0.035 nm<sup>2</sup> based on the structure fluctuation in unbiased MD, the height was arranged at 0.5 kj/mol and the deposition stride at 500 simulation steps. An upper wall of 500000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>) was set to constrain the distance from the path at a value lower than 0.06, based in unbiased MD simulations of more than 200 ns where the CV's fluctuation did not reach values higher than 0.03. If uracil is part of the system, it is constrained in the previously calculated position in the binding site with a distance restraint of 20000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>) at 0.7 nm between the center of mass of the substrate and C $\delta$  atom of Q134. The same constraint was applied on the distance of  $H_3O^+$  cation from C\delta atom of E51 at 0.45 nm. Uracil and  $H_3O^+$  were constrained in PCV Metadynamics because we have considered the binding and release of the substrate as separate event from the large conformational change of the protein structure.

b) The same rationale and method were used in the Occ-to-IO case. Here, the  $\lambda$  value for s was equal to 110 nm<sup>-2</sup>, the width of the Gaussian functions for hills deposition was 0.037 nm<sup>2</sup>, the upper wall of 500000 kj/(mol\*nm<sup>2</sup>) was set to constrain the z at a value lower than 0.1.

#### Media, strains and growth conditions

Standard complete (CM) and minimal media (MM) for *A. nidulans* growth were used, supplemented with necessary auxotrophies at concentrations given in http://www.fgsc.net. Glucose 1% (w/v) was used as carbon source. 10 mM of sodium nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) or 0.5 mM of uric acid (UA), xanthine (XAN) or allantoin (ALL) were used as nitrogen sources. The uracil toxic analog 5-FU

was used at 100  $\mu$ M in the presence of 10 mM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as N source<sup>-</sup> All media and chemical reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Life Science Chemilab SA, Hellas) or AppliChem (Bioline Scientific SA, Hellas).

A  $\Delta furD::riboB\Delta furA::riboB\Delta fcyB::argB\Delta azgA\Delta uapA\Delta uapC::AfpyrG\Delta cntA::riboB pabaA1 pantoB100 mutant strain named <math>\Delta 7$ , was the recipient strain in transformations with plasmids carrying FurE mutant versions, based on complementation of the pantothenic acid auxotrophy pantoB100.<sup>76</sup> The  $\Delta 7$  strain has an intact endogenous FurE gene transporter, but this is very little expressed under standard conditions and thus does not contribute to detectable transport of its physiological substrates (UA, ALL) or to sensitivity in 5-FU<sup>27</sup>A. nidulans protoplast isolation and transformation were performed as previously described.<sup>77</sup> Growth tests were performed at 37 °C for 48 h, at pH 6.8.

#### Standard molecular biology manipulations and plasmid construction

Genomic DNA extraction from *A. nidulans* was performed as described in FGSC (http://www.fgsc.net). Plasmids, prepared in *Escherichia coli*, and DNA restriction or PCR fragments were purified from agarose 1% gels with the Nucleospin Plasmid Kit or Nucleospin Extract II kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Macherey–Nagel, Lab Supplies Scientific SA, Hellas). Standard PCR reactions were performed using KAPATaq DNA polymerase (Kapa Biosystems). PCR products used for cloning, sequencing and re-introduction by transformation in *A. nidulans* were amplified by a high-fidelity KAPA HiFi HotStart Ready Mix (Kapa Biosystems) polymerase. DNA sequences were determined by VBC-Genomics (Vienna, Austria). Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out according to the instructions accompanying the Quik-Change® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Stratagene). The principal vector used for most *A. nidulans* mutants is a modified pGEM-T-easy vector carrying a version of the *gpdA* promoter, the *trpC* 3' termination region and the *panB* selection marker.<sup>27</sup> Mutations in FurE were constructed by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis or appropriate forward and reverse primers. Transformants with intact FurE alleles were identified by PCR analysis.

#### **Epifluorescence microscopy**

Samples for standard epifluorescence microscopy were prepared as previously described.<sup>78</sup>

In brief, sterile 35-mm l-dishes with a glass bottom (Ibidi, Germany) containing liquid MM supplemented with NaNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.1% glucose were inoculated from a spore solution and incubated for 18 h at 25 °C. The images were obtained using an inverted Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 equipped with an Axio Cam HR R3 camera. Image processing and contrast adjustment were made using the

ZEN 2012 software while further processing of the TIFF files was made using Adobe Photoshop CS3 software for brightness adjustment, rotation, alignment and annotation.

#### Uptake assays

FurE transport activity was measured by estimating uptake rates of [<sup>3</sup>H]-uracil (40 Ci mmol<sup>-1</sup>, Moravek Biochemicals, CA, USA), as previously described.<sup>76</sup>

In brief, [<sup>3</sup>H]-uracil uptake was assayed in *A. nidulans* conidiospores germinating for 4 h at 37 °C, at 140 rpm, in liquid MM (pH 6.8). Initial velocities were measured on  $10^7$  conidiospores/100 µL incubated with A concentration of 0.2µM of [<sup>3</sup>H]-uracil at 37 °C. All transport assays were carried out in triplicates in at least two independent experiments. Results were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism software. Standard deviation was less than 20% in all calculations.

#### References

- 1. Jardetzky, O., (1966). Simple allosteric model for membrane pumps. *Nature*. **211**, 969–970.
- 2. Drew, D., Boudker, O., (2016). Shared Molecular Mechanisms of Membrane Transporters. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **85**, 543–572.
- 3. Penmatsa, A., Gouaux, E. (2014). How LeuT shapes our understanding of the mechanisms of sodium-coupled neurotransmitter transporters. *J Physiol.* **592**, 863–869.
- 4. Forrest, L. R., Zhang, Y.-W., Jacobs, M. T., Gesmonde, J., Xie, L., Honig, B. H., Rudnick, G., (2008). Mechanism for alternating access in neurotransmitter transporters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **105**, 10338–10343.
- 5. Kazmier, K., Claxton, D. P., Mchaourab, H. S. (2017). Alternating access mechanisms of LeuT-fold transporters: trailblazing towards the promised energy landscapes. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*. **45**, 100–108.
- 6. Krishnamurthy, H., Piscitelli, C. L., Gouaux, E. (2009). Unlocking the molecular secrets of sodium-coupled transporters. *Nature*. **459**, 347–355.
- 7. Forrest, L. R., Rudnick, G. (2009). The Rocking Bundle: A Mechanism for Ion-Coupled Solute Flux by Symmetrical Transporters. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. **24**, 377–386.
- 8. Yan, N., (2015). Structural Biology of the Major Facilitator Superfamily Transporters. *Annual Review of Biophysics*. **44**, 257–283.
- 9. Garaeva, A. A., Slotboom, D. J., (2020). Elevator-type mechanisms of membrane transport. *Biochem Soc Trans.* **48**, 1227–1241.
- Yamashita, A., Singh, S. K., Kawate, T., Jin, Y., Gouaux, E., (2005). Crystal structure of a bacterial homologue of Na+/Cl--dependent neurotransmitter transporters. *Nature*. 437, 215– 223.
- 11. Wang, K. H., Penmatsa, A., Gouaux, E., (2015). Neurotransmitter and psychostimulant recognition by the dopamine transporter. *Nature*. **521**, 322–327.
- 12. Coleman, J. A., Navratna, V., Antermite, D., Yang, D., Bull, J. A., Gouaux, E., (2020). Chemical and structural investigation of the paroxetine-human serotonin transporter complex. *eLife*. **9**, Article e56427.
- Quick, M., Abramyan, A. M., Wiriyasermkul, P., Weinstein, H., Shi, L., Javitch, J. A., (2018). The LeuT-fold neurotransmitter:sodium symporter MhsT has two substrate sites. *PNAS*. 115, E7924–E7931.

- Faham, S., Watanabe, A., Besserer, G. M., Cascio, D., Specht, A., Hirayama, B. A., Wright, E. M., Abramson, J., (2008). The crystal structure of a sodium galactose transporter reveals mechanistic insights into Na+/sugar symport. *Science*. 321, 810–814.
- Ressl, S., Terwisscha van Scheltinga, A. C., Vonrhein, C., Ott, V., Ziegler, C., (2009). Molecular basis of transport and regulation in the Na(+)/betaine symporter BetP. *Nature*. 458, 47–52.
- Tang, L., Bai, L., Wang, W., Jiang, T., (2010). Crystal structure of the carnitine transporter and insights into the antiport mechanism. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*. 17, 492– 496.
- Fang, Y., Jayaram, H., Shane, T., Kolmakova-Partensky, L., Wu, F., Williams, C., Xiong, Y., Miller, C., (2009). Structure of a Prokaryotic Virtual Proton Pump at 3.2 Å Resolution. *Nature*. 460, 1040–1043.
- 18. Shaffer, P. L., Goehring, A., Shankaranarayanan, A., & Gouaux, E. (2009). Structure and mechanism of a Na<sup>+</sup>-independent amino acid transporter. *Science*, **325**, 1010-1014.
- 19. Weyand, S., Shimamura, T., Beckstein, O., Sansom, M. S., Iwata, S., Henderson, P. J., & Cameron, A. D. (2011). The alternating access mechanism of transport as observed in the sodium-hydantoin transporter Mhp1. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*, **18**, 20-23.
- Shimamura, T., Weyand, S., Beckstein, O., Rutherford, N. G., Hadden, J. M., Sharples, D., ... Sansom, M. S. P., Iwata, S., Henderson, P. J. F., & Cameron, A. D. (2010). Molecular basis of alternating access membrane transport by the sodium-hydantoin transporter Mhp1. *Science*, **328**, 470-473.
- Weyand, S., Shimamura, T., Yajima, S., Suzuki, S.I., Mirza, O., Krusong, K., Carpenter, E.P., Rutherford, N.G., Hadden, J.M., O'Reilly, J. and Ma, P., Saidijam, M., Patching, S. G., Hope, R. J., Norbertczak, H. T., Roach, P. C. J., Iwata, S., Henderson, P. J. F., Cameron A. D., (2008). Structure and molecular mechanism of a nucleobase–cation–symport-1 family transporter. *Science*, **322**, 709-713.
- Simmons, K. J., Jackson, S. M., Brueckner, F., Patching, S. G., Beckstein, O., Ivanova, E., Geng, T., Weyand, S., Drew, D., Lanigan, J., Sharples, D. J., Sansom, M. S., Iwata, S., Fishwick, C. W., Johnson, A. P., Cameron, A. D., Henderson P. J., (2014) Molecular mechanism of ligand recognition by membrane transport protein, Mhp1. *EMBO J.* 33, 1831– 1844.
- 23. Hatton, C.E., Brotherton, D.H., Spencer, M. and Cameron, A.D., (2022). Structure of cytosine transport protein CodB provides insight into nucleobase-cation symporter 1 mechanism. The EMBO J, **41**, e110527.
- 24. Pantazopoulou, A., & Diallinas, G. (2007). Fungal nucleobase transporters. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.*, **31**, 657-675.
- Hamari, Z., Amillis, S., Drevet, C., Apostolaki, A., Vágvölgyi, C., Diallinas, G., & Scazzocchio, C. (2009). Convergent evolution and orphan genes in the Fur4p-like family and characterization of a general nucleoside transporter in Aspergillus nidulans. *Molecular Microbiology*, 73, 43-57.
- Krypotou, E., Kosti, V., Amillis, S., Myrianthopoulos, V., Mikros, E., & Diallinas, G. (2012). Modeling, substrate docking, and mutational analysis identify residues essential for the function and specificity of a eukaryotic purine-cytosine NCS1 transporter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 287, 36792-36803.
- Krypotou, E., Evangelidis, T., Bobonis, J., Pittis, A. A., Gabaldón, T., Scazzocchio, C., Mikros, E. & Diallinas, G. (2015). Origin, diversification and substrate specificity in the family of NCS 1/FUR transporters. *Molecular Microbiology*, 96, 927-950.
- 28. Sioupouli, G., Lambrinidis, G., Mikros, E., Amillis, S., & Diallinas, G. (2017). Cryptic purine transporters in Aspergillus nidulans reveal the role of specific residues in the evolution of specificity in the NCS1 family. *Molecular Microbiology*, **103**, 319-332.

- 29. Papadaki, G. F., Amillis, S., & Diallinas, G. (2017). Substrate specificity of the furE transporter is determined by cytoplasmic terminal domain interactions. *Genetics*, **207**, 1387-1400.
- Papadaki, G. F., Lambrinidis, G., Zamanos, A., Mikros, E., & Diallinas, G. (2019). Cytosolic N-and C-termini of the aspergillus nidulans FurE transporter contain distinct elements that regulate by long-range effects function and specificity. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 431, 3827-3844.
- 31. Girke, C., Daumann, M., Niopek-Witz, S., & Möhlmann, T. (2014). Nucleobase and nucleoside transport and integration into plant metabolism. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **5**, Article 443.
- Sucic, S., Dallinger, S., Zdrazil, B., Weissensteiner, R., Jørgensen, T. N., Holy, M., Kudlacek, O., Seidel, S., Cha, J. H., Gether, U., Newman, A. H., Ecker, G. F. Freissmuth, M., & Sitte, H. H. (2010). The N terminus of monoamine transporters is a lever required for the action of amphetamines. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285, 10924-10938.
- 33. Laio, A., & Parrinello, M. (2002). Escaping free-energy minima. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **99**, 12562-12566.
- Jiang, T., Wen, P. C., Trebesch, N., Zhao, Z., Pant, S., Kapoor, K., Shekhar, M, & Tajkhorshid, E. (2020). Computational dissection of membrane transport at a microscopic level. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 45, 202-216.
- 35. Ikeda, T., Saito, K., Hasegawa, R., & Ishikita, H. (2017). The existence of an isolated hydronium ion in the interior of proteins. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, **56**, 9151-9154.
- 36. Wu, X., & Brooks, B. R. (2018). Hydronium ions accompanying buried acidic residues lead to high apparent dielectric constants in the interior of proteins. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **122**, 6215-6223.
- Trigg, E. B., Gaines, T. W., Maréchal, M., Moed, D. E., Rannou, P., Wagener, K. B., Stevens, M., & Winey, K. I. (2018). Self-assembled highly ordered acid layers in precisely sulfonated polyethylene produce efficient proton transport. *Nature Materials*, 17, 725-731.
- 38. Baaden, M., Burgard, M., & Wipff, G. (2001). TBP at the water- oil interface: the effect of TBP concentration and water acidity investigated by molecular dynamics simulations. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **105**, 11131-11141.
- 39. Branduardi, D., Gervasio, F. L., & Parrinello, M. (2007). From A to B in free energy space. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **126**, Article 054103.
- 40. Kazmier, K., Sharma, S., Islam, S. M., Roux, B., & Mchaourab, H. S. (2014). Conformational cycle and ion-coupling mechanism of the Na+/hydantoin transporter Mhp1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **111**, 14752-14757.
- 41. Gedeon, P. C., Indarte, M., Surratt, C. K., & Madura, J. D. (2010). Molecular dynamics of leucine and dopamine transporter proteins in a model cell membrane lipid bilayer. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*, **78**, 797-811.
- 42. Kazmier, K., Sharma, S., Quick, M., Islam, S. M., Roux, B., Weinstein, H., Javitch, J. A., & Mchaourab, H. S. (2014). Conformational dynamics of ligand-dependent alternating access in LeuT. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, **21**, 472-479.
- 43. Limongelli, V., Bonomi, M., & Parrinello, M. (2013). Funnel metadynamics as accurate binding free-energy method. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, 6358-6363.
- 44. Raniolo, S., & Limongelli, V. (2020). Ligand binding free-energy calculations with funnel metadynamics. *Nature Protocols*, **15**, 2837-2866.
- 45. Fu, H., Zhou, Y., Jing, X., Shao, X., & Cai, W. (2022). Meta-analysis reveals that absolute binding free-energy calculations approach chemical accuracy. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, **65**, 12970-12978.

- 46. Limongelli, V. (2020). Ligand binding free energy and kinetics calculation in 2020. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science*, **10**, e1455.
- 47. Troussicot, L., Guillière, F., Limongelli, V., Walker, O. and Lancelin, J.M., (2015). Funnelmetadynamics and solution NMR to estimate protein–ligand affinities. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **137**, 1273-1281.
- Moraca, F., Amato, J., Ortuso, F., Artese, A., Pagano, B., Novellino, E., Alcaro, S., Parrinello, M. and Limongelli, V., (2017). Ligand binding to telomeric G-quadruplex DNA investigated by funnel-metadynamics simulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114, E2136-E2145.
- 49. D'Annessa, I., Raniolo, S., Limongelli, V., Di Marino, D. and Colombo, G., (2019). Ligand binding, unbinding, and allosteric effects: deciphering small-molecule modulation of HSP90. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, **15** 6368-6381.
- Saleh, N., Saladino, G., Gervasio, F.L., Haensele, E., Banting, L., Whitley, D.C., Sopkovade Oliveira Santos, J., Bureau, R. and Clark, T., (2016). A three-site mechanism for agonist/antagonist selective binding to vasopressin receptors. *Angewandte Chemie*, **128**, 8140-8144.
- 51. Saleh, N., Ibrahim, P., Saladino, G., Gervasio, F.L. and Clark, T., 2017. An efficient metadynamics-based protocol to model the binding affinity and the transition state ensemble of G-protein-coupled receptor ligands. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, **57**, 1210-1217.
- 52. Saleh, N., Hucke, O., Kramer, G., Schmidt, E., Montel, F., Lipinski, R., Ferger, B., Clark, T., Hildebrand, P.W. and Tautermann, C.S., 2018. Multiple binding sites contribute to the mechanism of mixed agonistic and positive allosteric modulators of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. *Angewandte Chemie*, **130**, 2610-2615.
- 53. Claxton, D. P., Quick, M., Shi, L., De Carvalho, F. D., Weinstein, H., Javitch, J. A., & Mchaourab, H. S. (2010). Ion/substrate-dependent conformational dynamics of a bacterial homolog of neurotransmitter: sodium symporters. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, **17**, 822-829.
- 54. Shi, L., Quick, M., Zhao, Y., Weinstein, H., & Javitch, J. A. (2008). The mechanism of a neurotransmitter: sodium symporter—inward release of Na+ and substrate is triggered by substrate in a second binding site. *Molecular Cell*, **30**, 667-677.
- 55. Borre, L., Andreassen, T. F., Shi, L., Weinstein, H., & Gether, U. (2014). The second sodium site in the dopamine transporter controls cation permeation and is regulated by chloride. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **289**, 25764-25773.
- 56. Shi, L., & Weinstein, H. (2010). Conformational rearrangements to the intracellular open states of the LeuT and ApcT transporters are modulated by common mechanisms. *Biophysical Journal*, **99**, L103-L105.
- 57. Jungnickel, K.E.J., Parker, J.L. & Newstead, S. (2018) Structural basis for amino acid transport by the CAT family of SLC7 transporters. *Nat Commun* **9**, 550.
- 58. Winkelmann, I., Uzdavinys, P., Kenney, I.M. et al. (2022) Crystal structure of the Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter NhaA at active pH reveals the mechanistic basis for pH sensing. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6383.
- 59. Razavi, A. M., Khelashvili, G., & Weinstein, H. (2017). A Markov state-based quantitative kinetic model of sodium release from the dopamine transporter. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 1-14.
- Malinauskaite, L., Quick, M., Reinhard, L., Lyons, J. A., Yano, H., Javitch, J. A., & Nissen, P. (2014). A mechanism for intracellular release of Na+ by neurotransmitter/sodium symporters. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 21, 1006-1012.
- 61. Zhao, C., & Noskov, S. Y. (2011). The role of local hydration and hydrogen-bonding dynamics in ion and solute release from ion-coupled secondary transporters. *Biochemistry*, **50**, 1848-1856.

- 62. Del Alamo, D., Meiler, J., & Mchaourab, H. S. (2022). Principles of Alternating Access in LeuT-fold Transporters: Commonalities and Divergences. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **434**, Article 167746.
- 63. Mikros, E., & Diallinas, G. (2019). Tales of tails in transporters. *Open Biology*, 9, Article 190083.
- 64. Tribello, G. A., Bonomi, M., Branduardi, D., Camilloni, C., & Bussi, G. (2014). PLUMED 2: New feathers for an old bird. *Computer Physics Communications*, **185**, 604-613.
- 65. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM Jo 2008 Journal of Computational Chemistry Wiley Online Library, (available at <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/jcc.20945</u>).
- 66. Abraham, M. J., Murtola, T., Schulz, R., Páll, S., Smith, J. C., Hess, B., & Lindahl, E. (2015). GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX*, **1**, 19-25.
- 67. Huang, J., Rauscher, S., Nawrocki, G., Ran, T., Feig, M., De Groot, B. L., Grubmüller, H., & MacKerell Jr, A. D. (2017). CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nature Methods*, **14**, 71-73.
- 68. AMBER parameter database (Bryce Group: Computational Biophysics and Drug Design University of Manchester), (available at http://amber.manchester.ac.uk/).
- 69. Lomize, M. A., Pogozheva, I. D., Joo, H., Mosberg, H. I., & Lomize, A. L. (2012). OPM database and PPM web server: resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **40**, D370-D376.
- 70. Evans, D. J., & Holian, B. L. (1985). The nose-hoover thermostat. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **83**, 4069-4074.
- 71. Parrinello, M., & Rahman, A. (1981). Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method. *Journal of Applied Physics*, **52**, 7182-7190.
- 72. Darden, T., York, D., & Pedersen, L. (1993). Particle mesh Ewald: An N · log (N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **98**, 10089-10092.
- 73. Hess, B., Bekker, H., Berendsen, H. J., & Fraaije, J. G. (1997). LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, **18**, 1463-1472.
- 74. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., & Schulten, K. (1996). VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *Journal* of *Molecular Graphics*, 14, 33-38.
- 75. Bonomi, M., Barducci, A., & Parrinello, M. (2009). Reconstructing the equilibrium Boltzmann distribution from well-tempered metadynamics. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, **30**, 1615-1621.
- 76. Krypotou, E., & Diallinas, G. (2014). Transport assays in filamentous fungi: kinetic characterization of the UapC purine transporter of Aspergillus nidulans. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, **63**, 1-8.
- 77. Koukaki, M., Giannoutsou, E., Karagouni, A., & Diallinas, G. (2003). A novel improved method for Aspergillus nidulans transformation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **55**, 687-695.
- 78. Gournas, C., Amillis, S., Vlanti, A., & Diallinas, G. (2010). Transport-dependent endocytosis and turnover of a uric acid-xanthine permease. *Molecular Microbiology*, **75**, 246-260.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Simone Aureli for his assistance on the computational part, Dr. Paolo

Conflitti for many helpful discussions.

#### Funding

This research was funded by a Stavros Niarchos Foundation research grant (KE14319).

IZ was supported by a Stavros Niarchos Foundations scholarship in National and Kapodistrian University of Athens and a COST action CA15135 funded a scholarship for the mobility of IZ to Università della Svizzera Italiana.

Work in the laboratory of GD was supported by a "Stavros Niarchos Foundation" Research Grant (KE14315) and by a Research Grant (KE18458) from the "Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI)".

This work was also supported by computational time granted from the Greek Research & Technology Network (GRNET) in the National HPC Facility "ARIS" under project pr010020.

#### Author contributions:

Conceptualization: GD and EM.

Methodology and supervision:

IZ carried out the calculations with help by SR and supervision by EM and VL. GL has constructed the initial models together with IZ.

GFP and YP carried out the experiments supervised by GD.

Writing-original draft: IZ, YP, EM and GD

Writing-review & editing: YP, EM, GD, SR, VL, GFP

#### **Competing interests:**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**Data and materials availability:** All data and materials used in the analyses are available to any researcher for purposes of reproducing or extending the analyses.

#### **Figures and Tables**

**Figure 1 Model structures of FurE**. The three homology models, Outward Facing (OF), Occluded (Occ), Inward Facing (IF), based on corresponding Mhp1 template crystal structures are shown in side view (orientation parallel to the membrane lipids). The 'bundle' helices are colored blue, the 'hash' helices are colored cyan, the outer and inner gates are colored red, and the TMS11, and TMS12 are grey. The grey dashed lines represent the membrane plane.

Figure 2: Functional analysis of FurE mutants. (A) Growth tests and epifluorescence microscopy of isogenic strains expressing distinct FurE mutant versions in a  $\Delta 7$  genetic background (i.e. genetically lacking other nucleobase-related transporters), compared to a positive (FurE) and a negative ( $\Delta$ 7) control strain (for strain details see materials and methods). NO<sub>3</sub>, UA, ALL, Xan denote MM supplemented with nitrate, uric acid, allantoin or xanthine as sole N source. 5FU is MM+NO<sub>3</sub> supplemented with 5-FU. WT denotes a standard A. nidulans wild-type strain expressing all major nucleobase transporters. In vivo epifluorescence microscopy of the same strains is shown in the right panel, as all FurE mutants are functionally tagged with GFP. Notice that all FurE mutant versions, except R264A, exhibit nearly (i.e. wt FurE-like) plasma membrane localization and vacuolar turnover. Only R264A is trapped in the perinuclear ER rings, typical of misfolded versions of FurE or other transporters. These observations are validated by estimation of relative steady state levels of FurE wt and mutant versions by the ICY software (see Supplementary Figure S3). Common color codes denote residues interacting in the outer gate (yellowish) or by salt bridges (red and cyan) along the translocation pathway. (B) Direct uptake assays of selected FurE mutants, using 0.2 µM [3H]-radiolabeled uracil. The figure shows relative % initial uptake rates (1 min) of mutants, when wild-type FurE transport is taken as 100%, performed with 107 germinated conidiospores, as described<sup>68</sup> (for details see Materials and methods). (C) Growth tests and epifluorescence microscopy of strains expressing FurE mutants related to residues proposed to form salt bridges. Details are in 2B. Notice that most FurE mutants, except D28R and to lower degree R264D, score as loss-of-function mutants. Notice also the prominent ER-retention, apparent as labeling for perinucler rings, in D261R, D28R/R264D and E51K/K199E. (D) Topology of residues studied by mutations.

**Figure 3: Binding of H\_3O^+ and uracil as simulated by Funnel Metadynamics**. (A) The BFES of  $H_3O^+$  binding process. Contour lines are shown every 2 kcal/mol. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol<sup>59</sup> (B) The binding mode of  $H_3O^+$  cation in FurE transporter as derived from the global energy minimum in the FES. (C) The BFES of uracil binding process in FurE transporter. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The

FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol.<sup>59</sup> Three major energy minima are defined as A, B, C in the Surface. (D) The structure of FurE indicating the sites of the intermediate states (minima A, B and C in the BFES) of uracil entering FurE transporter. (E) The binding mode of uracil in FurE as derived from the BFES in C, compared to the crystal structures of (5S)-5-benzylimidazolidine-2,4-dione in Mhp1 (F) and cytosine bound to CodB (G).

Figure 4. FurE structural alterations, residue interactions and Free Energy Surface plots during transport conformational changes. (A) Side chain interactions of important residues have been monitored in all structures collected in each FES global minimum and are represented as percentage over the ensemble of the structures. (B) The FESs of the OF-to-Occ transition using different stoichiometry of ligands bound to the transporter (colour code: protein in the *apo* form in blue, complexed only with  $H_3O^+$  in cyan, complexed with both uracil and  $H_3O^+$  in green, complex only with uracil in grey). Each tick in the x axis represents one unit. (C) The FESs of the Occ-to-IF transition are shown using different stoichiometry of ligands bound to the transporter. The system containing both uracil and  $H_3O^+$  is represented in red, the system containing only  $H_3O^+$  is represented in magenta, the system containing only  $H_3O^+$  is represented in magenta, the system containing only  $H_3O^+$  is represented in magenta, the system containing only  $H_3O^+$  is represented in wellow.

**Figure 5. The unbinding process of H\_3O^+ and uracil to the cytoplasm as simulated by Funnel Metadynamics**. (A) The BFES of  $H_3O^+$  internalization process. The separation between contours is 2 kcal/mol. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol<sup>59</sup> (B) The binding sites of  $H_3O^+$  cation in FurE transporter along the internalization pathway, as derived from the low energy states in the BFES in A. In orange is represented the cytosolic N-terminal LID when  $H_3O^+$  is bound in D26, D28 and N347, while in yellow when  $H_3O^+$  is released in the cytoplasm. (C) The BFES of uracil internalization process. The separation between contours is 2 kcal/mol. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol<sup>59</sup> (D) The intermediate states of uracil internalization pathway while exiting the FurE transporter, as derived from the BFES in C.

**Figure 6: Schematic representation of the transport mechanism.** The mobile FurE 'hash' motif helices (TMS3, TMS4, TMS8, TMS9) and outer and inner gates (TMS10 and TMS5) are shown relative to the 'bundle' motif, shown as cyan background, which is considered relatively immobile during uracil and  $H_3O^+$  internalization. In the Outward Facing (OF) state, FurE is in *apo* form.  $H_3O^+$  binding results in local residue rearrangement but does not cause rearrangement of the gross tertiary structure. Uracil binding induces the closing of theTMS10 outer gate and the kink and tilt of TMS8 and TMS3, respectively, reaching the Occluded (Occ) state.  $H_3O^+$  moves toward the TMS5 inner gate, which slightly bends, while TMS3 and TMS8 also display structural rearrangements, initiating the Inward Occluded (IOcc) state. After  $H_3O^+$  is released in the intracellular space, TMS5 bends more, while TMS8 and TMS3 bending introducing the Inward Facing (IF) conformation. After the release of both  $H_3O^+$  and uracil, TMS5 slightly returns to the previous bend position. An inward-facing unknown return state, probably introduced by a  $H_3O^+$ , is represented in grey.

#### **Supplementary Materials**

Supporting material file contains Table S1: The simulation time of each case of the PCV Metadynamics simulations. Figures S1-S8: Alignment of FurE and Mhp1, Details of interactions between residues in FurE models, Relative orientation of transmembrane helices of the 'hash' motif compared to the 'bundle', Funnel dimensions used for the four cases of FM simulations Comparison of the binding mode of substrates in FurE and Mhp1, RMSD diagrams, Substrate-residue interactions in different intermediate conformations and conformational changes of 'hash' motif helices during Occ to IO transition.

PDB files representing the structures of all described conformations as derived from the Metadynamics calculations are also submitted



**Figure 1 Model structures of FurE**. The three homology models, Outward Facing (OF), Occluded (Occ), Inward Facing (IF), based on corresponding Mhp1 template crystal structures are shown in side view (orientation parallel to the membrane lipids). The 'bundle' helices are colored blue, the 'hash' helices are colored cyan, the outer and inner gates are colored red, and the TMS11, and TMS12 are grey. The grey dashed lines represent the membrane plane.



Figure 2: Functional analysis of FurE mutants. (A) Growth tests and epifluorescence microscopy of isogenic strains expressing distinct FurE mutant versions in a  $\Delta 7$  genetic background (i.e. genetically lacking other nucleobase-related transporters), compared to a positive (FurE) and a negative ( $\Delta 7$ ) control strain (for strain details see materials and methods). NO<sub>3</sub>, UA, ALL, Xan

denote MM supplemented with nitrate, uric acid, allantoin or xanthine as sole N source. 5FU is MM+NO<sub>3</sub> supplemented with 5-FU. WT denotes a standard A. nidulans wild-type strain expressing all major nucleobase transporters. In vivo epifluorescence microscopy of the same strains is shown in the right panel, as all FurE mutants are functionally tagged with GFP. Notice that all FurE mutant versions, except R264A, exhibit nearly (i.e. wt FurE-like) plasma membrane localization and vacuolar turnover. Only R264A is trapped in the perinuclear ER rings, typical of misfolded versions of FurE or other transporters. These observations are validated by estimation of relative steady state levels of FurE wt and mutant versions by the ICY software (see Supplementary Figure S3). Common color codes denote residues interacting in the outer gate (yellowish) or by salt bridges (red and cyan) along the translocation pathway. (B) Direct uptake assays of selected FurE mutants, using 0.2 µM [3H]-radiolabeled uracil. The figure shows relative % initial uptake rates (1 min) of mutants, when wild-type FurE transport is taken as 100%, performed with 107 germinated conidiospores, as described<sup>68</sup> (for details see Materials and methods). (C) Growth tests and epifluorescence microscopy of strains expressing FurE mutants related to residues proposed to form salt bridges. Details are in 2B. Notice that most FurE mutants, except D28R and to lower degree R264D, score as loss-of-function mutants. Notice also the prominent ER-retention, apparent as labeling for perinucler rings, in D261R, D28R/R264D and E51K/K199E. (D) Topology of residues studied by mutations.



Figure 3: Binding of  $H_3O^+$  and uracil as simulated by Funnel Metadynamics. (A) The BFES of  $H_3O^+$  binding process. Contour lines are shown every 2 kcal/mol. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol<sup>59</sup> (B) The binding mode of  $H_3O^+$  cation in FurE transporter as

derived from the global energy minimum in the FES. (C) The BFES of uracil binding process in FurE transporter. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol.<sup>59</sup> Three major energy minima are defined as A, B, C in the Surface. (D) The structure of FurE indicating the sites of the intermediate states (minima A, B and C in the BFES) of uracil entering FurE transporter. (E) The binding mode of uracil in FurE as derived from the BFES in C, compared to the crystal structures of (5S)-5-benzylimidazolidine-2,4-dione in Mhp1 (F) and cytosine bound to CodB (G).



Figure 4. FurE structural alterations, residue interactions and Free Energy Surface plots during transport conformational changes. (A) Side chain interactions of important residues have been monitored in all structures collected in each FES global minimum and are represented as percentage over the ensemble of the structures. (B) The FESs of the OF-to-Occ transition using different stoichiometry of ligands bound to the transporter (colour code: protein in the *apo* form in blue, in presence of  $H_3O^+$  only in cyan, bound with both uracil and  $H_3O^+$  in green, complex only with uracil in grey). Each tick in the x axis represents one unit. (C) The FESs of the Occ-to-IF transition are shown using different stoichiometry of ligands bound to the transporter. The system containing both uracil and  $H_3O^+$  is represented in red, the system containing only uracil is

represented in brown, the *apo* form is represented in magenta, the system containing only  $H_3O^+$  is represented in yellow.



Figure 5. The unbinding process of  $H_3O^+$  and uracil to the cytoplasm as simulated by Funnel Metadynamics. (A) The BFES of  $H_3O^+$  internalization process. The separation between contours is 2 kcal/mol. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol<sup>59</sup> (B) The binding sites of  $H_3O^+$  cation in FurE transporter along the internalization pathway, as derived from the low energy states in the BFES in A. In orange is represented the cytosolic N-terminal LID when  $H_3O^+$  is bound in D26, D28 and N347, while in yellow when  $H_3O^+$  is released in the cytoplasm. (C) The BFES of uracil internalization process. The separation between contours is 2 kcal/mol. The x axis represents the distance from the starting point

of the cone region of the funnel (see Materials and Methods). The FM simulations on FurE were carried out by adding the metadynamics bias to one CV (distance between the ligand and FurE). Thus, the 2D BFES was obtained by reconstructing the free energy by computing the Boltzmann distribution of a second CV using a re-weighting protocol<sup>59</sup> (D) The intermediate states of uracil internalization pathway while exiting the FurE transporter, as derived from the BFES in C.



**Figure 6: Schematic representation of the transport mechanism.** The mobile FurE 'hash' motif helices (TMS3, TMS4, TMS8, TMS9) and outer and inner gates (TMS10 and TMS5) are shown relative to the 'bundle' motif, shown as cyan background, which is considered relatively immobile during uracil and  $H_3O^+$  internalization. In the Outward Facing (OF) state, FurE is in *apo* form.  $H_3O^+$  binding results in local residue rearrangement but does not cause rearrangement of the gross tertiary structure. Uracil binding induces the closing of theTMS10 outer gate and the kink and tilt of TMS8 and TMS3, respectively, reaching the Occluded (Occ) state.  $H_3O^+$  moves toward the TMS5 inner gate, which slightly bends, while TMS3 and TMS8 also display structural rearrangements, initiating the Inward Occluded (IOcc) state. After  $H_3O^+$  is released in the intracellular space, TMS5 bends more, while TMS8 and TMS3 bending introducing the Inward Facing (IF) conformation. After the release of both  $H_3O^+$  and uracil, TMS5 slightly returns to the previous bend position. An inward-facing unknown return state, probably introduced by a  $H_3O^+$ , is represented in grey.